

5/PRTS

1

Method and Apparatus for Automated Software Testing

The present invention relates to software testing and in particular to unit testing software during its operation. The invention can be applied advantageously, but not
5 exclusively, to software produced using object oriented programming languages such as C + + , Corba or Java.

Automated testing of software during its development is known. The tests are designed as part of a software development process and these are then programmed
10 into specialised test tools and executed automatically. Many tools are commercially available to support this type of software development technique.

Software that checks itself during operation is also known and has been developed and applied widely. This may involve checking pre and post-conditions or assertions
15 and looking for exceptions at appropriate points in the software during its normal execution (See "Self Testing Systems" - M Aylett and P Utton, BT Technology Journal 1992).

Known testing systems enable end-to-end tests to be run on operational software
20 systems in order to test out the operation of individual facilities. However, there are currently no testing systems that easily enable low level tests to be run on a fully integrated and operational system. These tests are often termed "unit tests" and are applied directly to one or more individual units of code (e.g. a function, method, module or agent). This is in contrast to end-to-end tests of a system that run from a
25 system or user interface. Unit tests are currently run manually or automatically during development before integration.

According to the present invention there is provided a method of testing an operational integrated software system, said system comprising a plurality of
30 software elements, said method comprising the steps of:

- a) automatically registering each active element of software in a registry;

0936175-091001

- b) associating a set of test criteria with each registered element of software;
- c) selecting an element registered in the registry and testing the element in accordance with the associated set of test criteria; and
- 5 d) capturing the results of the testing of the element and comparing them to the associated test criteria.

This provides the advantage of enabling unit testing to be carried out on an integrated software system during its operation that allows quick identification of
10 latent or newly introduced faults in the software.

Figure 1 is a schematic representation of a computer loaded with software embodying the present invention;

Figure 2 is a functional block diagram of the program elements that comprise the
15 software indicated in Figure 1;

Figure 3 is a flow diagram illustrating part of the processing of the software shown in Figure 2;

Figures 4a and 4b are tables illustrating the data structures used and created by the program elements shown in Figure 2; and

20 Figure 5 is a flow diagram showing a further part of the processing of the software shown in Figure 2.

Figure 1 illustrates a conventional computer 101 such as a PC, running a conventional operating system 103 such as Windows and having a number of
25 resident application programs 105 such as a word processing program, a network browser and e-mail program or a database management program. The computer 101 also includes a software development application program 107 that enables the user to write and compile new programs and a testing program 109 that enables testing to be carried out on programs. The computer 101 is also connected to a conventional
30 disc storage unit 111 for storing data and programs, a keyboard 113 and mouse 115 for allowing user input and a printer 117 and display unit 119 for providing output from the computer 101. The computer 101 also has access to external networks (not

In conventional object oriented programming the programs are divided into conceptual sub-units called objects. Each object carries out predetermined functions much in the same way that a sub-routine might in conventional programming. Objects carry out processing of data and may co-operate with other objects to carry out some functions. Such co-operation is carried out via interfaces between the objects called arguments that are provided for passing commands, requests and data between the objects.

- 10 Each object is categorised into a class of objects. In fact, it is the class of an object that determines the functions and performance of an object. An object itself is an embodiment (or instance) of the class and can be created to carry out its function and then deleted once the function is complete. The creation of an object for a given class is carried out under the control of a constructor algorithm. In addition, the corresponding destructor for each class is arranged to remove the entry when the corresponding object is deleted.

- Each object comprises one or more methods. Each method is a subroutine that together with other methods provides the functions of the object itself. Methods may co-operate with other objects to carry out functions/processing on behalf of the method. The methods are also defined by the class of the object as are the arguments of the object.

- In summary, objects are functional units of software code whose functions are defined by the class of which a given object is an instance. Objects can have a number of states that change depending on the object's interaction with other objects or data. The combined interaction of the objects that make up a computer program provide the functions of the program itself.

- 30 With reference to figure 2, the testing program 109 comprises five main components, a tester 201, an object registry 203, a report generator 205, a test criteria store 207 and a parser 209. The tester 201 carries out the testing of each object in the software program under test and passes the results of the tests to the report

generator 205. The object registry 203 provides the tester 201 with a list of the objects that form part of the program at any given time (as noted above, objects may be created and destroyed during the operation of a program). The test criteria store 207 is used to hold the data and/or instructions necessary to test each of the objects registered in the object registry 203. In the present embodiment the data and/or instructions held in the test criteria store 207 are immediately usable by the tester 201. However, in some cases the data may be coded using a scripting language. In this case the parser 209 would be used to convert the data/instruction into a form usable by the tester 201. The functions and interactions of the five main components will be described in further detail below.

Figure 2 also shows a program object 211 undergoing testing by the tester 201. The object 211 is a standard object but has three additional areas of functionality that allow it to interact automatically with the testing program 109. The added functionality is provided in the present embodiment by two special methods 213, 215 added to each class definition used in the program under test and by additions to the functionality of the constructor and destructor algorithms for the program.

With reference to figure 3, the constructor is arranged, on the instantiation of an object for a given class, to create an entry in the object registry 203 for the new object (see step 301 of chart C). Then, at step 303, the constructor enters the identification for the object in its entry in the registry 203 (each object, when it is constructed by the constructor, is assigned a unique identifier). At step 305, the class type of the object is entered in the entry for the object and at step 307 the corresponding class name is entered. After step 307 the registration process is completed and the constructor algorithm ends its processing.

As noted above, when an object is no longer required it is deleted by a destructor algorithm. In the present embodiment, the destructor algorithm is also arranged to carry out the steps shown in chart D of Figure 3. At step 309 the destructor algorithm identifies the entry in the registry 203 that corresponds to the object being deleted and at step 311 removes the entry from the registry 203.

With reference to figure 4a, each class of object has a test criteria file that is entered into the test criteria store 207 when the first object of that class is entered in the object registry 203. The criteria are created during the design and implementation of the computer program under test and their precise construction is dependent on the testing methods being used. In the present embodiment, an entry is made in the store 207 for each class 401. For every class, an entry 403 is made for each method within the class. For each method 403, a definition of the input 405 to the method, the output 407 from the method, the start state 409 of the object when the method is performed and the end state 411 of the object on completion of the method is entered in the store 207.

The operation of the tester 201 will be described now with reference to Figure 5 in which at step 501 the tester 201 awaits a command to commence testing. In the present embodiment the command is given by a user. Once the command has been received then, at step 503, the tester 201 chooses the class of object to be tested from the registry 203. In the present embodiment, the system responds to a user command to commence testing and the chooses a method at random. However, the command or choice of method could be produced randomly, in accordance with a predefined testing plan or in response to requests or events from other objects or programs.

At step 505 the tester 201 uses the first special method 213 to determine the number of methods in the chosen object. The method 213 returns data, as shown in Figure 4b, describing the class of the object 413, identifications 415 of each of the methods in the object and a description 417 of the arguments for each of the method. At step 507, using the class identification returned by the method 213, the tester 201 identifies the appropriate test criteria from the test criteria store 207 and at step 509 runs the chosen method against the identified test criteria.

At step 511, the tester 201 uses the second special method 215 to capture the results of the test run on the method. The precise data that is captured is determined by the test criteria and may include the output data from the tested method, the resulting state of the object that the method is a part of and a list of other object or

methods that the chosen method interacted with as a result of the test. At step 513, the test data collected in the previous step is compared to the test criteria and the results of the comparison are passed to the report generator 205 for inclusion in a test report. After step 513, the tester returns to step 501 to await a further test instruction.

The tester program 201 is designed to carry out its testing procedures on a program while the program is in operation. In some operating systems the testing program 201 could be arranged to run as a background process or be arranged to operate when there is a predetermined amount of spare processor resource available.

As will be understood by those skilled in the art, in some systems it may be necessary to include means for preventing changes to the run-time environment being made during the testing of a software element. These may be in the form of run-time test switches that are similar in function to a debug compiler switch. In some systems it may be necessary to include a means to restore the state of any persistent variables (variables that retain state after execution) affected by the tests. This can be performed by taking a copy of the persistent variables before a test and restoring

understood that the term “object” used in the this description is to be construed broadly so as to cover functions, methods, modules or agents.

As will be understood by those skilled in the art, the tester program 109 can be
5 contained on various transmission and/or storage mediums such as a floppy disc, CD-
ROM, or magnetic tape so that the program can be loaded onto one or more general
purpose computers or could be downloaded over a computer network using a suitable
transmission medium.

10 Unless the context clearly requires otherwise, throughout the description and the claims, the words "comprise", "comprising" and the like are to be construed in an inclusive as opposed to an exclusive or exhaustive sense; that is to say, in the sense of "including, but not limited to".

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO